

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 09/837,314                                  | 04/19/2001    | Kevin Kawakita       | 9712                     |                  |
| 75  | 90 02/10/2004 |                      | EXAMINER                 |                  |
| KEVIN KAWAKITA<br>5812 TEMPLE CITY BL. #100 |               |                      | BOMBERG, KENNETH         |                  |
| TEMPLE CITY, CA 91780                       |               |                      | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |               |                      | 3754                     |                  |
|   |               |                      | DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/2007 | , –              |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | ,   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summan   | 09/837,314  | KAWAKITA, KEVIN  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAII INC DATE of this communication and  | Kenneth Bomberg   | 3754   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE                          | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ap   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This   | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,—   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or example.</li> </ul>   | vn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the c | epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>ity documents have been receive<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  | 4) ☐ Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5) ☐ Notice of Informal P  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/837,314

Art Unit: 3754

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

The Species of Figures 1-4;

The Species of Figure 5;

The Species of Figure 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claims 1 and 13 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 09/837,314

Art Unit: 3754

Page 3

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Kevin Kawakita on 4 February 2004 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Bomberg whose telephone number is (703) 308-2179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:30 AM - 7:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

KENNETH BOMBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3754

K.B.

February 5, 2004